Name of militant outfits operating in Assam	Area of operation
4. Birsa Commando Force (BCF)	Kokrajhar, Bongaigaon, Dhubri, Goalpara and Barpeta Districts
5. Karbi National Volunteer (KNV)	Karbi Anglong District
6. Karbi People Force (KPF)	Diphu PS areas of Karbi Anglong District' and Assam- Nagaland Border areas of this district
7. Bengali Tiger Force (BTF)	Nalbari, Kamrup, Darrang and Sonitpur districts
8. Tribal Liberation Front (TLF)	Lakhimpur, Dhemaji and some parts of Tinsukia, Dibrugarh, Sibsagar, Jorhat, Golaghat and Sonitpur districts
9. United Muslim Liberation Front of Assam (UMLFA)	Hailakandi, Goalpara, Darrang, Sonitpur and Morigaon districts
10. Muslim Security Force (MSF)	Some parts of Darrang, North Lakhimpur and Kamrup districts
11. Dima Halam Daogah **(DHD)**	North Cachar Hills, Karbi Anglong and Nagoan districts

Jain Hawala Case

679. SHRI GURUDAS DAS GUPTA: Will the Minister of HOME AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the designated court has discharged all accused in the Jain Hawala case;

(b) whether Government's attention has been drawn to the opinion of the Central Vigilance Commissioner Mr. N. Vittal that the case can be reopened by CBI to question the Dubai based Kingpin Amir Bhai; and

(c) if so, the details thereof and Government's reaction thereto?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI I.D. SWAMI): (a) designated court had framed charges against some of the accused persons but some were discharged by the Delhi High Court.

This was agitated before the Supreme Court of India. The Supreme Court of India, in its judgement dated 2.3.1998, held that even though the entries recorded in one of the diaries seized by the GBI from the Jains were admissible u/s 34 of the Indian Evidence Act, yet those were not sufficient to charged the alleged recipients unless there was independent evidence to prove the receipt of the said amounts by them. The Court of Special judge, Delhi High Court and Supreme Court of India, while examining the relevant charge-sheets filed in the said Hawala Case, during the course of trial/revision/appeal, did not find any such independent evidence to prove receipt to the amounts recorded in the diary against the names of the alleged recipients and, therefore, discharged all the accused persons in the said case.

(b) Yes, sir.

(c) Shri Amir Bhai has been interrogated in detail but he has not-disclosed any new material on the basis of which the so-called Hawala Case could be re-opened by the CBI at this stage.

Bomb Blasts in Delhi

† 680. SHRI BALWANT SINGH RAMOOWALIA:
SHRI KAPIL SIBAL:

Will the Minister of HOME AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that a number of incidents of bomb blasts have taken place in Delhi during the last three years under terrorist activities;

(b) if so, the dates and parts of the State where the said incidents took place during 1997-1998 and 1999;

(c) whether persons responsible for these incidents have been identified and arrested; and

(d) if so, the names of persons nabbed and the incidents for which they are being held responsible?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI CH. VIDHYA SAGAR RAO): (a) to (d) The requisite information is as follows:—

†Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.